MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE OCTOBER 11, 1990

The Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County met at the hour of 9:00 a.m., with the following members present: Melvin C. Rice, Chairman; Lewis Harris, John Selph. Members absent: none. Others present: Johnny O'Neal, Bill Bledsoe, Herb Reed, Wayne Carr, Stanley Glanz, Susan Savage, Terry Tallent, Jim Helm, Denise Graham, David Moss, Dave Hill, Clayton Edwards, Marshall Stuart, Manny Gamello, Donnelle Eller, Kelly Rucker, Janice Lintelman.

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m., and the following business was transacted:

Herb Reed passed out new statistical information regarding the Pre-Trial Release program. Selph noted that the jail population had been down for the past couple of months which was reflected in a decrease in the Pre-Trial Release figures also. Glanz stated that the average daily population of the jail for 1990 is 546. The 1989 average daily population was 556. Through Pre-Trial and other methods, the population in the jail is being managed successfully at this time.

Harris asked about the total number of beds available with the recent addition of 40 new beds, and the Sheriff replied that the total bed count is now 674. Harris inquired as to the possibility of the County's maximum jail population being increased from the present cap of 550 in accordance with directives from the Federal Court. Graham agreed that, with the additional beds, it might be possible to request an increase in the maximum number of prisoners allowed as we are in compliance with the state and federal standards.

Rice remarked that the consultant hired to review jail and court functions was well pleased with the jail management and court activities. The Sheriff stated that the final report from the consultant will be received soon.

In a discussion of the jail consolidation agreement, Susan Savage, Chief of Staff for Mayor Randle, noted that the recommendations contained in the Mayor's letter were from the legal department and were open to discussion. Regarding the hiring of an independent auditing firm to develop a methodology for identifying costs relative to the City and the County, two points were of particular concern to the Board and to the Sheriff. These were the suggestions that the City's costs would relate only to prisoners detained on City misdemeanor charges and that a reasonable market rental value would be determined for real and personal property leased to the County by the City. Savage said that it was not the City's intent to limit costs to only prisoners held on City misdemeanor charges; the City desires to participate in this study to develop a method to properly assess the City's costs so that the County can be reimbursed for those costs. Further, the City will pay one-half of the cost of this study. Glanz stated that no lease costs should be addressed in the agreement in order to keep jail costs as low as possible. Glanz and Savage