THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1993 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CONTINUED

Harris, yes; Selph, yes; Dick, yes. Motion carried.

Bales discussed the Challenge (Ropes) course fee revisions. He advised these fees were competitive with other area courses and would allow the course to be financially self-sufficient. He added that the County had also received a \$30,000 grant from the State of Oklahoma Board of Education to assist with the course. Motion was made by Harris, seconded by Dick, to approve the fee revisions presented in Bales' August 17 memo. Upon roll call: Harris, yes; Selph, yes; Dick, yes. Motion carried.

Dick discussed charging a fee for parking spaces assigned at the Tulsa County Annex building. Selph pointed out that the other employees who park in surface lots pay \$15/month instead of the \$35/month presented in Edwards' memo. Rudd advised that most employees in the Annex building pay \$15/month to park in the open lot across the street or \$25.00 to park in the Parkade. However, the division directors and assistant directors working in the Annex and parking next to the building are not presently charged for parking. Edwards pointed out that some people park free and others pay to park, even though they all work in the same building. Motion was made by Selph, seconded by Dick, to charge county employees \$15/month for assigned parking in the annex lot, effective October 1, 1993. Upon roll call: Harris, yes; Selph, yes; Dick, yes. Motion carried. Chairman instructed Edwards and Rudd to work out the details relative to Annex parking.

Dick discussed Building Operations' budget deficit, stating the Board is still awaiting word from Dick Blakeley regarding the transferring of \$500,000 from the Treasurer's office. Chairman asked that this subject be carried over to the September 23rd meeting.

Rudd discussed the personnel action for two employees who have been with Tulsa County for six months. He emphasized that these employees had completed their training period satisfactorily and that they were hired at the lowest pay for their positions; he asked that their pay be adjusted. Dick pointed out that other elected officials are giving raises to probationary employees, so the issue is whether the Budget Board's decision to not give raises was intended to include probationary employees. The Board agreed they needed uniformity within Board divisions. Chairman directed Tallent to gather information from division directors and Carr, then report to the Board at their September 23 meeting regarding the impact on the budget if such raises were given to all employees similarly hired.

The meeting adjourned at 11:43 a.m.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Robert N. Dick, Chairman

ATTEST:

by Mancy looper, Dep.

(DETAILS OF THE ABOVE ARE AVAILABLE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS)