		<u></u>		
136665 136668 136669 136670 136675 136676 136677 136678 136679	Braden Steel Corp. C.R Miller Mfg.Co. R.D Sanford V.D Grady Roy Tanner Paving Co. V.G Holtzinger Alex Bruce John Duke John Pratt W.N Duke Ed Pratt	Material for C Supplies Postage Supplies and S Cleaning Perry Labor Labor Labor Labor Labor Labor	Service	\$ 66.64 56.04 5.00 58.75 888.72 32.07 773112 60.00 53.25 65.00 33.50
1	To Mack Moss	OWNSHIP CLAIMS BOLES	Payroll	123.15
-	•			
128 1 2 3 4 5	E L Morgan J P Wagoner H E Ladd J P Wagoner E E Lowrey E E Lowrey	DAWSON	Drag. Payroll Drag. Payroll Payroll Payroll	83.75 8.00 7.50 54.00 186.00 28.00
	•	GLENN POOL	•	
	•		_	
212	Çhas.E.Graham		Drag.	25.00
40 41	Neamo Phillips Neamo Phillips	JENKS	Payroll Payroll	63.00 450.00
	•	LYNN LANE		
173 174	E T Ellison Carl W Elmore		Drag Payroll	7.50 103.50
	•	RED FORK		
1 2 3 4 5	Glidden Oil Corp. M P Cook Monroe Neal M P Cook Monroe Neal		Cost Imp. Payroll Payroll Payroll Payroll	635.95 200.62 28.00 30.55 114.00
	•	WEKIWA	•	•
1 2 3 4 5	F K Patison H E Goodman Joe Duncan H E Goodman F K Patison		Payroll Payroll Payroll Payroll Payroll Payroll	43.00 24.00 14.00 33.00 96.00 63.00

· Motion was made by Mr. North, seconded by Mr. Hedgecock, that the matter of the application of erroneous Assessment of Producers Sand Company be and the same is hereby granted as asked for in said application.

Upon motion of Mr.North, duly seconded by Mr.Hedgecock, the resignation of A P Watson, Justice of the Peage within and for District No. 4, Tulsa County, Oklahoma be and the same is hereby accepted and Mr. S A Dailey is hereby appointed to fill the unexpired term of Mr.Watson.

Upon motion of Mr.North, seconded by Mr.Hedgecock, Claim No. 136582, favor of Co-Operative Publishing Company is hereby allowed in the amount of \$197.45.

The Affidavit of The Oil Well Improvements Company was next taken up and the following recommendation made: "That the entry appearing upon Line 13, Page 176, Roll 19, Tax Roll of 1927 in the name of the Oil Well Improvement Company that the valuation be reduced \$12,000.00, leaving remaining the valuation of \$10,000.00, and that all other entries upon the tax rolls in the name of the said application and mentioned in the application of Erroneous Assessment remain as now appearing upon said tax rolls."

Two applications of erroneous assessment, favor of the Cumningham Company, asking for reduction in the valuation of personal property, for the year 1927, be and same is hereby denied.