

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2000 (CONTINUED)

William Jacobs, RWD, Chairman, 11039 N. 129th E. Ave., Owasso, enlightened the Board by explaining that RWD serves the Owasso market place. In this market place, there is a Wal-Mart Supercenter, Kohl's Dept. Store and a Lowe's. There is a 12" line there and their requirements are so great, RWD cannot serve the pressure that they demand. For them to operate, they had to put in their own suppression system. They have a 12" line and RWD can serve the water and pressure, but because of their special needs in their businesses, if the requirements are greater than what RWD has, then it is the responsibility of the owner to do their own pressure tank. RWD, by law, is only required to deliver 25 pounds of pressure at the meter. In most cases the pressure always exceeds 40 or maybe 50 pounds of pressure.

Jacobs also stated that RWD's attorney has drawn up an agreement with Owasso and this agreement has been redone to fit the needs of the City of Tulsa. It will then be presented to the City of Tulsa when it is in proper form. Jacobs stressed that RWD is willing to go to the City of Tulsa and work this out like they did with the City of Owasso.

Jerry Gammle, RWD Manager, 19426 S. Memorial, stated the Pastor was asking about a guarantee of pressure and volume of water. RWD does not have any kind of estimated usage or a site plan for how much water will be used. RWD engineer has stated for normal usage on a school and church, RWD can supply the water.

Lanson stated normal use does not have anything to do with it. No permit will be issued without the suppression system for the fire hydrants, which have to be put in because of new regulations.

Selph asked CFWB Engineer if the RWD's 25 to 50 pounds of water pressure was sufficient to supply the church. Joe Donaldson, Engineer for CFWB, stated he did not know what the actual needs were.

Lanson asked if the City of Tulsa would not do the exception, would RWD release them. Reynolds replied, there is no intention of releasing RWD.

Collins stated that this Commission could act based on what was heard today, or could allow the two to meet once again to try and work out the problems and come up with some acceptable system. RWD will do all they can to help CFWB, and will continue with the proposal for the City of Tulsa.

Dick stated it is difficult in his mind that RWD is best served by de-annexation of CFWB property. It has not been debated by anyone that RWD can get water to CFWB that meets the minimum guidelines of the statutes. Given the statute as it is, if you do not come in with an agreement, then the party that wants to change, needs to present a case that can prove to the Board of County Commissioners why it is in the best interest of that RWD to be de-annexed. Dick has heard no proposition that it is in RWD's best interest to grant a de-annexation. Dick suggested setting down at the table and "giving and taking a little."

David Mann, CFWB Board Member, 7725 E. Pine, Tulsa, stated that one of the issues was to have no lagoons on the property. Mann's concern is, if CFWB cannot gain sewer to appease previous requirements that have been negotiated and if CFWB cannot gain approval from the City to tie on, will Washington County not release CFWB, or will they force CFWB, by not