

MONDAY, JANUARY 7, 1991, CONTINUED

ATTEST:

Nancy Cooper, Dep
 Nancy Cooper, Dep
 Jean Hastings, County Clerk
 DISTRICT ATTORNEY

109173	STREETS AUDIO VIDEO	TAPES	105.00
109504	MARY K. BECKHAM, CSR	TRANSCRIPT	192.50
109651	DAC	PAYROLL	1,949.74

DA-BOGUS CHECK PROGRAM

109650	DAC	PAYROLL	16,301.87
--------	-----	---------	-----------

DA-DRUG ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

107449	AMERICAN PAPER & PACKAGING	SUPPLIES	588.32
107875	MCCAW COMMUNICATIONS	SERVICE	1,404.76
107903	JACK NADEL, INC.	SUPPLIES	2,938.22
108827	SCOTT RICE COMPANY	SUPPLIES	555.00
109648	DAC	PAYROLL	4,940.21
109649	DAC	PAYROLL	111.35

(THE ABOVE CLAIMS MAY BE SEEN IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK)

(Agenda of meeting was posted in the Courthouse Administration Lobby at 9:48 a.m. on Tuesday, January 8, 1991)

MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
 JANUARY 10, 1991

The Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County met at the hour of 9:00 a.m., with the following members present: Lewis Harris, Chairman; John Selph, Robert N. Dick. Members absent: None. Others present: Ray Jordan, Buck Rudd, Wayne Carr, Stanley Glanz, Nancy Cooper, Manny Gamallo, Donelle Eller, Mike Hargrove, Clay Edwards and Vera Carroll.

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m., and the following business was transacted:

Chairman noted that the Criminal Justice System agenda item would continue to be routinely scheduled at each meeting this year.

Sheriff Glanz was present to brief the Board on the status of the jail management contract with the City of Tulsa. Glanz informed the Board that we have been without a contract for six months. During this time the County has not received payment for service from the City even though we have continued to bill them. A discussion followed on the subject and it was determined that the County has been billing the City at the higher rate of payments which are still being negotiated in the new contract. Chairman suggested that we might bill on the old lower rate until a new agreement is signed and the City could catch up on the balance due on the new rate later.

Selph and Glanz will be attending a previously scheduled meeting on January 24th at the Mayor's office to discuss the new contract. They will bring the matter of payment to the Mayor's attention during that meeting.

Chairman asked for a figure on the current jail inmate population. Glanz reported it is now standing at 500-520. Glanz said that during the past six months it has been between 500-580.

Glanz also stated that, with the approval of financial support from the City bond election, it would be advantageous to begin thinking about people who may need to serve on a planning group to finalize construction and funding plans for expanding the Adult and Juvenile Detention Centers. The group would also review the process for the selection of an architect and determine whether the City or County would provide the construction. Dick agreed to represent the Board on this subject as it relates to construction, funding, and other details which may need to be worked out with the City.

Jordan reported to the board on different options to improve the Highway Department's radio communications system. He determined that we should consider the 800-MHZ system, noting there are two options available. Option one would be a stand alone system which would cost approximately \$200,000 plus tower rental and equipment installation. This system would only provide communication within different groups of the Highway Department.

Option two, which Jordan recommended, would be to join the City of Tulsa's regional 800-MHZ communication system. This system would cost approximately \$264,000 plus maintenance costs. Jordan said he has reviewed these proposals in detail with the Sheriff's Department and the only cost-sharing that could be done would be in radio maintenance. Glanz stated as soon as he could find the funds he intends to use the 800 MHZ system. Jordan said if we use the City's system he would want to do it Countywide because the agreement covers any user that Tulsa County would want to put on it, including Highway Departments and the Sheriff's office. He will continue to work with the Sheriff to be sure that any system we get will be compatible with the Sheriff's operation.

The Board directed Jordan to (1) ask the District Attorney's office if we need to request proposals from other companies or if we can contract with the City as a sole source for this service; (2) have the District Attorney's office look at the City's agreement for legal form and content; (3) develop costs of