

June 6th, 1938.

The following resolution was introduced by Commissioner Gray, who moved its adoption; said motion being seconded by Commissioner Hopkins. Chairman ordered the resolution read.

# R E S O L U T I O N

GRANTING AN EASEMENT FOR WATER LINES TO WATER  
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 5, TULSA COUNTY, FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING WATER LINES ON, THRU,  
OVER AND ACROSS CERTAIN HIGHWAYS IN TULSA COUNTY.

WHEREAS, it is deemed to the best interests of Tulsa County and the citizenship thereof, to grant Water Improvement District No. 5 of Tulsa County, certain easements and rights of way for the construction, maintenance and operation of water lines in said Water District No. 5, to-wit:

All necessary easements for the construction, operation and maintenance of any water line constructed or hereafter to be constructed in Water District No. 5 as previously created by this body.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County that said easements be granted to Water District No. 5 of Tulsa County for the purpose of construction, maintenance and operation of said Water lines within the District as heretofore created.

APPROVED BY COUNTY ENGINEER:

W. E. DAVIS

VOTE: JOHN H. MILLER, CHAIRMAN, YES  
J. B. GRAY, MEMBER, YES.  
HARRY L. HOPKINS, MEMBER, YES.

The motion was duly declared carried and Resolution adopted as read.

ATTEST: ANDY STOKES, County Clerk.  
By: M. Pendleton, Deputy.  
Seal.

Motion by Commissioner Gray, seconded by Commissioner Hopkins, that the following affidavits of erroneous assessment covering property for the years 1932 and prior, be and the same are hereby approved as shown by the applications numbered and listed below:

J. O. Campbell	65% Reduction	37488
G. D. Davis	65% "	37489
Charles Halff	65% "	37490
Howard N. Rogers	65% "	37491
Ida Bernier	65% "	37492
Esther Chaney	65% "	37493
S. M. Williams	65% "	37494
C. L. Britton	65% "	37495
W. A. Robbins	65% "	37496

And the County Clerk is directed to issue certificates of error covering same, provided, however, that the certificates of error shall not be issued until the expiration of twenty days from the date of this order and, provided further, that said certificates of error shall not be issued if any protest be filed within said twenty days until the issue of said protest is determined. Upon roll call, all members voting in the affirmative, motion was by the Chairman declared carried.