At a meeting of the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, on the 17th. day of March, 1924, W.L.North, member of the Board intorduced the following Resolution, who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by J.S.Shaver, and upon roll call the vote was as follows:

1. 1 1. 2 1. 2 X 1. 2 X 1.

Ed W.Hedgecock Yes.
W.L.North Yes.

J.S.Shaver Yes.

## RESOLUTION.

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of County Commissioners, deem it to be to the best interests of Tulsa County, that a road be opened in Owasso Township of said County, beginning on the line between Sections 13 and 18, Township 21 North, Range 12 and 13, East, at a point that is approximately 718.5 feet south of the Northeast corner of Section 13 and of the Northwest Corner of Section 18, and extending South along said Section line to the paved highway on such Section line, and that the owners of land adjacent to said line be notified to move their fences back a distance of 25 feet from said line, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the County Engineer be instructed to open and grade the said road, at such time as funds are available to make such improvements, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the County Engineer be directed to proceed with the making of approaches to the Hominy Creek Bridge at as early a date as possible, which said bridge is located near the northeast corner of Section 13, cost of the same to be paid from the Road and mBridge Fund.

PASSED the 17th. day of March, 1924.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS? By: Ed W.Hedgecock, Chairman.

ATTEST: O.G. Weaver, County Clerk.

Tulsa, Oklahoma. March 14, 1924.

Honorable Board of County Commissioners,

We, the undersigned, he rewith transmit to you the below listed applications for ErroneousnAssessments with out recommendations:

| 795 |                 | NAME<br>, Mrs. Arthur L. | <b>A</b> . |   |   | , | RECOMMENDATIONS.<br>Allow.<br>Allow. |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
|     | 796             | Strickland, Mary         |            | , |   |   |                                      |
|     | 79 <b>7</b>     | Eisele, R.               |            | • |   |   | Allow                                |
|     | <b>7</b> 99     | Maudlin, M.T.            |            |   |   | • | Allow.                               |
|     | 803             | Stanfill, B.F.           | •          |   | • | • | Allow                                |
|     | 80 <del>4</del> | David. A.D.              |            |   |   |   | Allow                                |
|     | 805             | Steward, Ellen           |            |   |   |   | Allow.                               |
|     | 807             | Jarecki Mfg. Co.         |            |   | t |   | Allow                                |
|     | 812             | Hilliard. Delbert        |            |   |   |   | Allow ,                              |
|     | 847             | Walker. W.H.             |            |   |   |   | Allow                                |
|     | 85 <b>6</b>     | Drew, Agnes R.           |            |   |   |   | Allow                                |
|     | 858             | Rowe, D. A.              | •          |   |   | , | Allow                                |
|     | 883             | Middleton, G.D.          | •,         |   |   | • | Allow                                |
|     | 82 <b>3</b> ,   | White, J.R.              |            |   |   | • | BISALLOW.                            |

County Attorney

James T. Whiteley.
County Assessor.

Ed O.Cassidy
Special Deputy State
Examinerand Inspector.

Motion was made by W.L.North, seconded by JS. Shaver, that Gertie Dickey be taken from the Pension List and placed on the allowance list at the rate of \$10.00 per month effective from and after March 1, 1924. Motion carried,

Motion was made by W.L.North, seconded by J.S.Shaver, that John R.Woodard be authorized to institute a suit, in the nature of a friendly suit, against the City of Tulsa, to recover a money judgment against the City for the assessment made against the City property in Park View Drainage District No. 2, innaccordance with the assessment hereto fore made in the Assessment Roll.

It is further understood in this motion that John R.Woodard is to receive a fee of One Hundred Dollars, provided, he recovers the judgment against the City, and there is sufficient money left in this fund belonging to Park View Drainage District No. 2 Account, out of which to pay said fee.