

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 14, 1993, CONTINUED

classification system being developed with the help of the National Institute of Justice of Washington D.C. He reported the system is entering the second step of a five step process.

Glanz discussed his "Request for Proposals" (RFP) to provide video security and video arraignment for Tulsa County detention facilities. Griffin presented a video of the video arraignment system used in Reno, Nevada, which utilizes fiber optics. It was pointed out that a system using microwaves or the county's existing Plexar system may also be possibilities for handling video signals. The board expressed concern about the initial expenditure of money for this item and the possible ongoing costs. Glanz stated the bid specifies modular stages which could be completed a stage at a time as needed and as money is available. He also said the bid specifies a free 45-day trial period to test the system. Hill reported the judges had indicated a willingness to test video arraignments but had not had an opportunity to review the RFP. The board decided to withhold its decision on the advertising of this RFP until the judges could thoroughly review it. Chairman asked Hill to discuss the RFP with the judges and share their comments with the board during its April 22 Management Conference.

The board expressed concern about providing private security for the Hartford Building, as specified on the Tulsa Development Authority Agreement presented at the April 12 board meeting.

Following discussion, Glanz withdrew his request for approval of this agreement.

The board reviewed Edward's April 12 memo regarding the SA&I audit for year ended June 30, 1992. In order to comply with audit recommendations, Chairman directed Edwards and Carr to develop a resolution for board consideration regarding the following items: definition of "business day" for Parks division, designation of Carr as the repository for federal and state grant information, and a statement requiring drainage districts to fully comply with Tulsa County purchasing procedures.


Carr reported on the effect on the county's fiscal year 1992-93 budget if the assessor's revaluation budget is not collected by June 30. He said Tulsa, Jenks and Owasso school districts were under a court order to go back to the Excise Board on April 21 to discuss their revaluation bills. Depending upon that board's decision, a Writ of Mandamus may then be filed, requiring a judge to hear the case again and further delaying any payments to be made. Semler discussed the case and advised he would expedite the process as much as possible. Chairman asked Semler to report back to the board on April 22 regarding the Excise Board's decision and necessary pending action. Following discussion of the budget problems facing the county, it was the consensus of the board that it would defer decisions on any large expenditures until after the status of the assessor's revaluation budget is known. In light of this decision, Chairman stated he would write a memo to Chad Higgins regarding his capital expenditure request and to Dick Blakeley/Dennis Semler regarding their travel expense request.

Meeting was adjourned at 11:06 a.m.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

  
Robert N. Dick, Chairman

ATTEST:

  
Joan Hastings, County Clerk

(DETAILS OF THE ABOVE ARE AVAILABLE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS)