

J July 17th. 1922.

The Financial Statement of the General Fund, as of June 30th. 1922, reflects a deficit of \$24,953.12, or in other words the accruals of your revenue for the past fiscal year lacked that amount of taking care of your requirements. This deficit has occurred through no fault of the County or any of its officers,

ANALYSIS OF DEFICIT.

The deficit of \$24,953.12 arises from the following conditions:

1. The estimated income from sources other than ad valorem tax, as allowed by the Excise Board for the Fiscal Year of 1921-22. was the sum of \$161,851.29, and the collections from this source was the sum of \$133,253.71, leaving a deficit in the amount collected from the amount estimated of \$28,597.58.

2. A part of this deficit was absorbed by the following items:

Increased valuation reflected upon the rolls June 30th. producing \$116.92 more than the Budget requirements	116.92
Unappropriated surplus from prior years	3091.99
Refunds in the sum of	435.55
Making a total of	<u>3644.46</u>

This leaves the net deficit in the amount of \$24,953.12.

It will not be necessary for us to worry over this deficit as the same will be absorbed, in all probability, within the next 90 days by tax collections in to the 10 per cent reserve, as shown in the prior year's budget, and the collection of back taxes.

Your attention is specifically called to the condition of our appropriations as of June 30th. Your Board, Mr. Lawson, the County Clerk and myself made strenuous endeavors to keep the appropriations for the several departments and the accounts therein, from being overdrawn, and I regret to have to advise you that our labors have seemingly been of no avail. The total of the overdrafts on June 13th. 1922, was \$2354.47, and the same occur in the following departments:

County Attorney	\$605.28
Sheriff	220.82
County Clerk	253.30
County Treasurer	4.53
County Assessor	12.50
Board of County Commissioners	20.00
District Court	17.00
District Court	267.92
Curative	152.44
Charitable	505.76
General Government	58.32
Separate Schools at large	236.60
TOTAL	<u>2354.47</u>

Mr. Lawson, your County Clerk, has on file a vast number of claims chargeable to the unexpended balances of our appropriations, as of June 30th. In many of the Departments of the County Government these claims will create new overdrafts and add to the accounts already overdrawn, but before you will be called to act upon such claims you will be given full information as to the condition of the appropriations in the several Departments.

Respectfully,

ED O CASSIDY,
Special Deputy State Examiner and
Inspector.

EOC:HEF

REPORT NO. 16.

Tulsa, Okla. July 10th. 1922.

Honorable Board of County Commissioners
of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Gentlemen:-

I am herewith transmitting to you the following applications for Erroneous Assessments with my recommendations.

OUR NO.	NAME OF APPLICANT	RECOMMENDATIONS
37	J.W. Hampton	Allowed on Certificate of Assessor.
55	Smith Cement Block Co.	" " " " "
53	Christine G. McGinnis	" " " " "
51	Peter Deichman	" " " " "
36	P. Cunningham	" " " " "
21	Annie Fabela nee Gooden	" " " " "
60	A.A. Swan for County	" " " " "
64	J.C. Chesley	" " " " "
65	Willie Harjo	" " " " "
66	J.D. Bodkins	" " " " "

62	D.U. Schlegel	Referred to Assessor
61	W.A. Vandever	Referred to Assessor.
54	Christing G. McGinnie	Returned to Applicant for all the Facts

59	Mamie B. Hiland	Returned to applicant for all the facts.

22	Ralph J. Lamb	To be presented by Attorney for Applicants
63-A		
63-B	Henry Kendall College	To be presented by Attorney for Applicants.