

Tulsa, Okla., October 16th. 1922.

Honorable Board of County Commissioners,
of Tulsa County,
Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Gentlemen:

I am herewith returning to you the following applications for Erroneous Assessments with my recommendations thereon:

OUR NO.	NAME OF APPLICANT	DISPOSITION
185	W.S.Duggins	Allow on certificate of Assessor.
190	Sterling Investment Co.	"
191	Robert Courtney	"
192	Mrs. A.D.Young	"
194	E.A.Lilly (for Charlotte Fain)	"
156	W.M.Pestel	"
160	Allie Couch	"
161	Earl W.McGrory	"
162	C.A.Holeman	"
163	E.R.Rabon	"
166	Florence A.Radcliff	"
171	Berry Hart Co.	"
168	A.E.Turner	Allow as per previous action.
181	Kendall College	"
167	Kendall College	Allow on Certificate of Assessor.
179	R.P.Waters (for O.U.R.R.)	"
182	R.P.Waters (for O.U.R.R.)	"
150	Geo.C.Anderson	Riot
164	A.L.Phillips	Riot
165	William Kyle	Riot
170	B.A.Waynes	Riot
169	F.R.Williams	Riot
193	G.W.Hutchins	Riot
159	Oklahoma Sun by Theo Boughman	No recommendation by me
183	Welcome Grocery	Refer to assessor
180	W.C.Clock	"
172	Mrs.M.A.Smith	"
177	L.W.Evans	"
176	Kewanee O & Gas Co.	Disallow - No authority to reduce
184	L.D.Kaufman	valuations.

Respectfully,

Ed O.Cassidy, Special Deputy State
Examiner and Inspector.

EOG:HEF

Motion was made by F.M.Wooden, seconded by Ira Short, that the County Engineer be instructed to make survey with a view to draining the lake and making the road passable across the Missouri, Kansas and Texas Railway Company's tracks on the Wekiwa-Piatt Road. Motion receiving the affirmative vote was declared carried.

Motion was made by F.M.Wooden, seconded by Ira Short, that the Petition of the Patrons and Teacher of Fisher Separate School be referred to the County Superintendent. Motion carried.

October 7th. 1922.

Hon. County Commissioners,
Tulsa County Oklahoma.

Gentlemen:

During the period of time in which we were placing to grade the State Highways of this County, it was necessary to obtain extra right of way in many places, and also in the grading of the roads, many entrances to farms along the highways were destroyed by reason of changes in the grades of the roads, and in this connection the County in obtaining extra right of way and in making of ditches along the farmers entrances, we agreed with certain of the land owners that we would construct an entrance for us the land owners, and that in many instances called for drain tiles, concrete boxes, etc., Practically all of these agreements have been fulfilled.

This County should ^{agree} ~~agree~~ with me on a definite policy at this time in regard to future construction of this nature.

The lands along our paved highways which are being subdivided and sold off into small tracts, the parties buying the same have perfect knowledge of what they are purchasing and there certainly be no obligation on the part of this County to build entrances from the roads into their property, neither is there any obligation that we should build culverts, drain pipes, etc., over our ditches to provide and maintain such entrances. If we were to continue, or if we should obligate ourselves to this policy of providing entrances, the cost would be prohibitive, and would each year be a heavy drain on funds provided for purposes other than this. Nothing in our Budgets provide for this type of construction.

This office is harrassed almost daily by some one wanting special favors of this class, and following the dictates of my judgment, I can only refuse such requests for I do not believe it to be right. I do recognize that in our first construction, it was right that when we destroyed an existing entrance of some farmer that we were in the right to provide a new one. Also, that in the acquiring of extra right of way that to