STATE OF OKLAHOMA )Q TULSA COUNTY )SS

Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Fublic, within and for said County and State on this the w6th day of February, 1923, personally appeared Chas W. Flint to be known to be the identical person, who subscribed the name of the maker thereof to the foregoing instrument as its President and acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free and voluntary act and deed and as the free and voluntary act and deed of such corporation for the uses and purposes therein set forth.

My commission expires Nov. 2nd. 1925 (SEAL) Violet D. Coil-Notary Fublic STATE OF OKLAHOMA )
(35
TULSA COUNTY )

Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Fublic, within and for said County and State aforesaid, on this 10th day of May 1923, personally appeared William Chisholm, Guardian, to me known to be the identical person who subscribed and executed the within and foregoing instrument and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free and voluntary act and deed as such guardian for the uses and purposes therein set forth.

In witness whereof. I have hereunto set my hand and official seal the day and date last above written.

My commission expires Nov. 2nd, 1925 (SEAL) Violet D. Coil-Notary Public Filed for record at Tulsa. Tulsa County. Oklahoma, May 19, 1923 at 11:25 o'clock A.M. and recorded in Book 441 Page 637

By Brady Brown - Deputy

(SEAL)

O. G. Weaver - County Clerk.

231032-ACM

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR TULSA COUNTY,

COMPAREL

SOTOOS-YOW

OKTAHOMA.

Maggie Bemore and Geronimo, Grover, Louis, Vera, Josephine and W. T. Alexander, Jr. by their Guardian, W. T. Alexander, and W. T. Alexander.

Plaintiffts)

No. 15851

vs

T. L. Matthews, and Howard Young,

Defendants

## DECREE

Now on this the 16th day of May 1923, the above cause regularly assigned for trial and this being one of the judicial days of the above named court came on for hearing before the Hon. W. B. Williams, Judge of said court upon proper assignment, the said plaintiffs appearing not and no one in their behalf and each being severally three times called came not and made default therein, and said defendants afficuncing ready for trial, the said plaintiffs are adjudged in default and said action is heard by the Court.

The said defendants having introduced proof both oral and documentary and the court being fully advised of the premises finds that the land in controversy in this case was duly allotted to one Ludie Cox. Creek Indian Roll No. 9502, that said Ludie Cox departed this life intestate and without issue on or about the 2nd day of June 1902, bein a child of about one year of age at the time of her said death.

That the mother of said Ludie Cox was one Nancy Haikey, Creek Indian, Roll No. 697 who died on or about the 2nd day of September, 1903, and that said Ludie Cox was the illegit imate child of said Nancy Haikey and one John Cox and by reason of the laws of descent and distribution then in force in the Indian Territory, to-wit: Section 1922 Carter's Indian

3Pines

\*