234569 - BH State of Oklahoma) SS County of Tulsa ) Margarett E. Carr, VS. George W. Carr

10 10) COMPARED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT.

No. 20684

## Defendent } JUDGEMENT END JOURNAL ENTRY.

This cause coming for hearing before me, the undersigned W. B. Williams, one of the Distrist Judges, in and for Tulsa County, State of Uklahoma, on this the 29th day of Jue, 1923, a regular court day of the June 1923 term of said court, on the petition of phintiff herein and the defendent having accepted service of sommons, in writing and having also filed his written verified waiver, entering his appearance, waiving the service of summons and waived his right to appear, plead or answer herein and consenting that said cause might be heard immediately, and it further appearing that the rules of this court heretofore promulgated, requiring divorce cases to be on file thirty days before assignment for trial has been, by order duly signed by a majority of the judges of said court suspended, this cause coming on in the regular menner for hearing upon this day, June 29th, 1923, before the undersigned and there appearing in open court the plaintiff in person, and by her attorney of record, andalso appearing in open court, in person, the defendent, both parties being ready to proceed to trial and the court having before him plaintiff's verified petition and having examined and considered same, as well as the acceptance of service of sumons and the defendent's waiver, in writing, thereupon proceeds to hear the oral testimony offered on behalf of plaintiff, and in support of her petition, and plaintiff having completed her testimony, and defendant being present in person and declining to offer any testimony in opposition to the alleagtions of plaintiff's petition, and the court being fully and sufficiently advised in the premises, on consideration thereof, finds:

That all of the material facts alleged in plaintiff's petition are true; that the plaintiff at the time of filing her petition, was, and had been an actual resident in good faith of the State of Oklahoma, for more than one year next preceeding the filing of her petition, and was at the time of filing herpetition a resident of fulse County, Oklahoma, and that the parties to the action had been married, and were husband and wife, as set forth in said petition,

The court further finds from the testimony of the plaintiff and others heard in open court that the defendent has been guilty of extreme cruelty and gross neglect of duty toward the defendent in the way and manner as alleged and set forth in plaintiff's petition for a period of More than one year; the court further finds from the evidence heard that the defendent has been for more hean six months orprior to the filing of claintiff's petition as habitual drunkard and has used intoxicating liquors to excess and that during such times has used abusive and insulting language, as well as vile and popporbious epithets towards the plaintiff, both in private and in public; that he has threatened plaintiff with violence; the court furtherfinds that during all of said time the plaintiff has borne said extreme cruelty and gross neglect of duty towards her on the part of the defenent with patience; that she has made to defendent a loyal and faithful wife and is without fault in thepremises and is entitled to a decree of divorce as prayed for in her petition.

The ourt further finds from the paintiff's verified setition and oral testimony heard in support thereoforthat the plaintiff of the sole owner in her own right and name