

of purchase has attempted to have written notice signed by him to be served on the owner of said land and the person in possession thereof as required by law and that said written notice was returned by the officer serving same with his affidavit that the said owners of the land and the person or persons in possession thereof could not be found in Tulsa County, Oklahoma and it further appearing that the said Earl E. Logan made and filed with and in the office of the County Clerk of Tulsa County as required by law an affidavit that the owner or owners of said land or the person^y persons in possession thereof could not be found in the county, that the residence or place of business of the owner or owners is not known to the holder of said tax certificate and cannot be ascertained by any means within the control of the said Earl E. Logan, holder of said certificate of purchase and that the said Earl E. Logan holder of said certificate of purchase cannot by the exercise of reasonable diligence make service upon such owner or owners within the State. ~~COMPARISON~~

And it further appearing that the said Earl E. Logan, legal owner and holder of said certificate of purchase has caused service to be made by publication of a notice for three successive weeks in the West Tulsa News a newspaper of general circulation and bona fide subscription list published at the county seat of said Tulsa County as required by law first publication being on the 4th day of April, 1924 and the last publication being on the 18th day of April, 1924 said notice containing a recital of the sale of said land and specifying the date of said sale and notifying the owner or owners of said land and the person or persons in possession thereof and any person or persons having a legal or equitable interest therein that unless redemption is made from such sale within sixty days after the date of service of such notice a tax deed would be demanded and would issue as provided by law and it appearing that more than sixty days have elapsed from and after the date of service of said notice as aforesaid.

Now therefore this indenture made this 10th day of June A.D. 1924 between the State of Oklahoma, by W. W. Stuckey the Treasurer of said county of the first part and the said Earl E. Logan of the second part; WITNESSETH: That the said party of the first part for and in consideration of the premises and the sum of One Dollar in hand paid hath granted, bargained and sold and by these presents doth grant, bargain, sell and convey to the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns forever, the lot, tract or parcel of land mentioned in said certificate and described as follows: to-wit: Lot 17, Block 1, Rosemont Heights Addition to the city of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, all in Tulsa County in the State of Oklahoma.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD said mentioned tract, lot or parcel of land with the appurtenances thereunto belonging to the said party of the second part, his heirs and assigns forever in as full and ample manner as the said treasurer of said county is empowered by law to sell the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the said W. W. Stuckey, Treasurer of said County of Tulsa, has hereunto set his hand and seal on the 10th day of June 1924.

(Seal)

W. W. Stuckey,
Treasurer of the County of Tulsa, in the
State of Oklahoma.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)
County of Tulsa.) ss.

Before me O. G. Weaver, County Clerk, in and for the above named County and State, on this the 10 day of June A.D. 1924, personally appeared W. W. Stuckey, to me known to be the County Treasurer of said County of Tulsa and the person who executed the above conveyance of lands for himself, and as such County Treasurer, and acknowledged that he had executed the same as his own free, voluntary act and deed, and as the deed of said County