

east thirty eight (38) feet of lots one (1) two (2) three (3) and four (4) in block sixteen (16) Berry Addition to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for one dollar receipt is hereby received, I hereby assign by these presents the rents received from the above described property to C.L.Hansen, or his assigns, in so far as to cover the payments of fifty dollar (\$50.00) notes due on the first of each and every month, same secured by a mortgage on the above described property and recorded in book 472, page 557.

I also agree that if by neglect or other reasons I allow as many as six notes or payments become delinquent and past due, I will give possession and warranty title to the above described property in order to save the costs and attorney's fees of foreclosure in case I could not meet my obligations.

Signed this 13th day of Sept. 1924.

If all past due payments are paid then this is void.

H. L. Levy.

State of Oklahoma)

County of Tulsa) SS Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public, in and for said County and State on this 12th day of Sept. 1924, personally appeared H.L. Levy, a single man to me known to be the identical person who executed the above instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes therein set forth.

Witness my hand and notarial seal on the day and date above written.

(SEAL) B. C. Lange, Notary Public.

My commission expires Jan. 15th, 1927

Filed for record in Tulsa County, Okla. on Sept. 18, 1924, at 10:30 A.M. recorded in book 491, page 568, Brady Brown, Deputy,

(SEAL) O.G. Weaver, County Clerk.

267707 - BH

AFFIDAVIT.

C. G. Adkins to Public-

State of Missouri)

County of Newton) SS C. G. Adkins, being first duly sworn on oath says that he is the defendant in the case of Christine Adkins, vs. C.G. Adkins, filed on the 16th day of August 1918 in the District Court, in and for the County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, That said case was numbered 7787, that your affiant upon the 16th day of September, 1918, filed and answered in said cause and that thereafter, your affiant and said plaintiff reached an agreement regarding the division of such property as they owned, and that all of their property was divided between them in accordance with such agreement before said cause came up for trial, that after such division of said property, said cause was heard upon its merits in said Court and a decree of divorce granted to the plaintiff, Christine Meeks, upon the 22nd day of November, 1918, that because all property rights had been taken care of, and satisfactorily adjusted before such decree was granted the journal entry covering such decree did not contain any reference to the property or property rights of either of the parties of said suit.

That by said property settlement made as aforesaid with the plaintiff, Christine Meeks all of the interests which your affiant had at the time of said divorce suit in lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, and lots 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28 in block 33 in the town of Skiatook Tulsa County, Oklahoma, remained as the individual property of your affiant, and that said plaintiff Christine Meeks never has had or as far as your affiant knows, never has claimed any lien upon or interest in said property since such decree of divorce was granted in