by reason of the fact that the mortgage waived appraisement and that no order of sale issue on said judgment until the expiration of six (6) months from its date; and,

WHEREAS, at the same time and place and as a part of said decree, the defendants Robt. E. Adams and L. W. Grant obtained a judgment against the said defendants J. O. Browne and D. M. Browne in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) with interest at eight per cent, (8%) from the 17th day of April, 1923, and for an attorney's fee of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00), and as a part of said judgment a decree of foreclosure was awarded, foreclosing a second mortgage on said property as a security for said judgment; and,

WHEREAS, on the 13ch day of August, 1924, no part of the plaintiff's judgment, interest, costs, and attorneys' fees having been paid, and no part of the judgment of said cross-petitioners with attorneys' fees having been paid, upon the request of the plaintiff an order of sale was issued on the plaintiff's judgment, direction the sheriff of Tulsa, County, ⁰klahoma, to advertise and set 1 said property according to law without appraisement for the purpose of obtaining funds with which to liquidate said judgments, with interests, costs and attorneys' fees; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to said order of sale, which came into the hands of the sheriff on the 13th day of August, 1924, the sheriff did advertise said property for sale for a period of more than thirty ⁽30) days next prior to the date of sale, said advertisement being published in the Tulsa Daily Legal News and the first publication appearing on the 15th day of August, 1924, and the last on the 15th day of ^September, 1924, and the notice appearing on each and every intervening publication date; and,

WHEREAS, on the 16th day of September, 1924, at two (2) o'clock P. M. of said date at the west front door of the court house in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa and State of ⁰klahoma, same being the time and place specified for said sale, the sheriff sold said property at public auction, without appraisement, to the highest bidder, and defendants. Robt. E. Adams and L. W. Grant became the purchasers of said property for the sum of One Thousand Dollars (^{\$1,000.00}), and said Robt. E. Adams and L. W. Grant, having purchased the judgment of plaintiff and being at the date of said sale the 'owners of the plaintiff's judgment and the judgment which they obtained on cross-petition, the said bid was credited on the plaintiff's judgment after paying the costs; end,

WHEREAS, the costs in this case have been paid; and,

0

WHEREAS, on the 17th day of September, 1924, the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, made and entered a decree confirming said sale and ordering and directing the sheriff to make, execute and deliver to said purchasers a good and sufficient deed to said property:

NOW, THEREFORE, I, R. D. Sanford, Sheriff of Tulsa County, ^Oklahoma, party of the first part, in consideration of the premises and pursuant to the laws of the State of ^Oklahoma and the authority vested in me by reason thereof, do hereby grant, bargain, sell, convey and confirm unto Robt. E. Adams and ^L. W. Grant, parties of the second part, the following described property situated in the ^CCity of Tulsa, County of Tulsa and State of Oklahoma, to-wit: Lot ^Fcur (4) in Block Four (4) in Elm Park Addition to the ^City of Tulsa,

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD said property unto said parties of the second part, their heirs and assigns forever. Witness my hand this 17th day of September, 1924, STATE OF OXLAHOMA, COUNTY OF TULSA.) SS.

Before me, a Notary ²ublic in and for said County and State, on this 19 day of September, 1924, personally appeared R. D. Sanford, ^{Sheriff} of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to me known to be the identical person who executed the above and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his official capacity as such Sheriff

311