Now on this 23rd day of October 1909, the same being one of the days of the regular October 1909 term of the istrict Court of Tulsa county, Oklahoma, this cause coming on to be tried on the petition of the plaintiff and the answers of F. O. Cavitt, quardian Ad Litem and John N. Ingram, General Guardian of the pefendants, The Plaintiff being present in person and by his attorneys Biddison and Campbell, and the Defendants appearing by there Guardian Ad Litem.

It appearing to the court that the petition in this cause was filed on the 30th day of June 1909 and that said petition states a good cause of action against the defendants and that on said 30th day of June 1909 ansummons in this case was issued by the Clerk of this Court, directed to the Sheriff of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, commanding him to summon the above named defendants to answer in this cause, on or before the 27th day of July, 1809, and said summons being returnable on the 7th day of July, 1909, and it further appearing to the Court that the sherriff of Tulsa County, returned said summons on the sixth day of July 1909, which service of summons on each of the above named defendants by the cheriff was had by delivering to each and every one of them a true and correct copy of said summons and also a true copy thereof to John M. Ingram the father and General Guardian of said Defendants. That said service was made in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the 30th day of June 1909, and it further appearing to the Court that the said General Guardian filed an answer for said defendants in this cause on the 27th day of July, 1909, denying all allegations of the Plaintiff's petition, and it further appearing to the Court that on the 13th day of 1909, the nourt appointed F. O. Cavitt, a practicing attorney before this court as the Guardian Ad Litem for said pefendants and that said Guardian Ad Litem filed an answer for said defendants, denying all allegations in the Plaintiff's petition, on the 13th day of October ; 1909.

This cause coming on to be heard on the above said day before the Court the Court after hearing the testimony introduced and heing fully advised in the premises finds:

That the Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the land Described in his petition, to-wit:

Southeast 1 of the goutheast 1 of section 1; West 1 of Northwest 1 of the Northwest \ddagger and Southeast \ddagger of the Northwest \ddagger of Northwest \ddagger and the Southwest # of the Northeast # of the Northwest # of Section 12, Township 20 North, Range 13 East, and Northwest & of Northeast . of Southeast & in Section 6, all in Township 20 North, Range 14 East in Tulsa ounty, State of Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that said land was purchased by the Plaintiff at a Guardian's sale under order of the County Court and that the Said County court of said Tulsa county, Oklahoma did on the 15th day of February, 1909, confirm said sale in all things and that said Guardian, John M. Ingram, conveyed said land to the Plaintiff by $\mathcal L$ uardian need made pursuant to said order of confirmation, and the Court further finds that the Defendants or either of them have no right, title, claim, interest, demand, equity or estate, either legal ly or equitable, in and to said land, or any portion thereof, but that all of their said interest was conveyed to the Plaintiff at said Guardian sale.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff's title be quieted against all adverse claims of the Defendants and that the Defendants and each of them and all persons for or on their behalfbe forever

网络野菜